

# **CALLING ATTENTION NOTICE *re*: SUPPLY OF FERTILISERS TO THAGGAHALLI SERVICE CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY IN MADDUR TALUK.**

†SRI S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—"I desire to call the attention of the Minister for Co-operation and Housing regarding the Supply of Fertilisers to Thaggahalli Service Co-operative Society in Maddur Taluk."

MR. SPEAKER.—Is it likely that there is anything *sub judice* about this.

SRI K. PUTTASWAMY.—The matters that are *sub judice* are omitted from the Statement.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—When the affairs are not satisfactory, it certainly amounts to *sub judice*. The case is before the High Court of Mysore and a stay order has been issued.

†SRI K. PUTTASWAMY.—I have already submitted that if there are matters of *sub judice* I will take care to see that they are omitted from the statement.

"The Thaggahalli Service Co-operative Society is being supplied fertilisers by the Maddur T.A.P.C.M. Society. Since 1-7-1965 to 30-6-1966, 79 : 180 tons of fertilisers have been issued to it. It has yet to lift 12 : 540 tons against the allotment already made. The failure is not on the part of the Taluka Marketing Society but that of the Thaggahalli Co-operative Society in not lifting the same. The Taluka Marketing Society has reported that the Thaggahalli Co-operative Society may lift the allotment at any time and is prepared to honour the allotment made by the competent authorities."

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—I would like to get this clarification from the Minister.

MR. SPEAKER.—Generally on calling attention, motion, no supplementaries are allowed. Only one or two clarifications are allowed.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—The Hon'ble Minister was a Lawyer himself and had a lucrative practice when he was practicing. Now the order of supersession of the Society is the question is in the High Court and the High Court has issued a stay order. Now after the issue of the Stay order, the Department of Co-operation in conjunction with the Department of Agriculture and Taluk Marketing Society manoeuvred to see that this particular Society does not get any fertiliser. Does it not go indirectly to execute the order which has been stayed by the High Court? Will it not amount to contempt of High Court?

†SRI K. PUTTASWAMY.—It looks as though the Hon'ble Member was not able to appreciate the statement that I made. I have already stated that since 1-7-1965 to 30-6-1966, they have issued 79.18 tons of fertilisers and they have yet to lift 12.54 tons against the allotment already made. So, it is clear that it is a failure on the part of the Society to go and get the

(SRI K. PUTTASWAMY)

fertilisers allotted to it. The Hon'ble Member seems to have an impression that the Taluk Marketing Co-operative Society is not honouring the allotment made by the competent authority. In this connection, I would like to give an assurance to this House to remove any impression in any quarter that all the Taluk Marketing co-operative societies in the State will be requested to honour the allotments made by competent authorities irrespective of the state of affairs of the society in favour of which the allotments are made.

### Members' Representations

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾಸ್ಕರಶೆಟ್ಟಿ (ಕಾಪು).—ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಕಾರ್ ಅಪ್ಪೆಂಟಿಸ್ ನೋಟೀಸ ಮಣವಾರ್ ಗರಾಜಿ ವಿಷಯ ತಂದಿದ್ದೆ. 4-5 ದಿವಸ ಆಯಿತು.

MR. SPEAKER.—The member need not think that I am not aware of it. In fact, the Members will kindly remember that it is likely to be taken up. Did I not say it?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಭಾಸ್ಕರ ಶೆಟ್ಟಿ.—ಅಜೆಂಡಾದಲ್ಲಲ್ಲ.

MR. SPEAKER.—If Members cannot have that amount of patience, those members do not deserve any consideration. Has the Hon'ble Member read rule 63 which says that not more than one such matter of urgent public importance shall be raised at the same sitting?

Am I arguing to say how things could or could not have been done? I have seen that it has been replied in the other House and I do not know if there is anything to be done with regard to that. I am striving my best. If it is not included, how can I call upon anybody? Is it a Question of private conversation? The Hon'ble Minister must have notice. He must be present and he must have the papers and he must answer. How can I call him without its being included in the Agenda?

SRI S. SIVAPPA.—You have been always the champion when Central Cabinet Ministers' names are dragged here that they should not be mentioned and you have protected them. But it is unfortunate in the Lok Sabha that when our Deputy Speaker's name was dragged nobody has protected him. You have been so alert and you protected the interest of Central Ministers. It is most unfortunate that our Deputy Speaker's name was dragged in the Lok Sabha and no protest has been made.

MR. SPEAKER.—Order, Order.....

SRI K. LAKKAPPA.—The Hon'ble Deputy Speaker has participated in Border agitation. Can his name be dragged in Lok Sabha and insulted like that? We must pass a resolution.

ಶ್ರೀ ಗಂಗಾಧರ ನಾವೇಗಿ (ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗ).—ವೇದಿಕೆಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಕುಳಿತಿರತಕ್ಕವರು ತೀರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಬಾರದು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮೇಲ್ಮನೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಕೂಡ ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರು ಎಂದು ತಮ್ಮ ಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದಿದ್ದೇನೆ.